Harm Weken

Managing Director, FIER Automotive Portland, 21st of July, 2016



# I-CVUE

INCENTIVES FOR CLEANER VEHICLES IN URBAN EUROPE





Co-funded by the Intelligent Energy Europe Programme of the European Union.

## Introduction





#### Harm Weken

- Managing Partner of FIER Automotive
- Chairman of the board at the Foundation Limburg
  Electric
- Member of the Council of Advisors at Drive Oregon
- Ambassador for EVU, Electric Vehicle Union
- Board director of EASN, platform for automotive clusters and regions (2007-2015)



### Electric Mobility: Project examples



- Business development for Dutch e-mobility sector:
  - Missions to EU countries, China, India, US etc
  - 3-year program on German market
- Business planning and funding applications: smart- and e-mobility test labs,
   Automotive Campus Helmond (follow-up campus co-development)
- Clean vehicle fleet projects for governments
- Realisation and expansion of corporate / private e-car sharing programs together with Nissan EU and Foundation Limburg Electric
- Strategic planning and mapping of future-proof charging infrastructure
- International benchmark and technology / supplier search for electric bus consortium

• Business development and grant applications for electric trucks (incl. PHEV & hydrogen) for distribution, garbage and container transport



### Electric Mobility: European projects



# I-CVUE



- EV fleet monitoring and analysis
- Transferability of Best Practice
- Market-Potential supported by Predictive Tools

#### e-GLM



- 10 full electric trucks (45t) with network of fast charging points in a cross border project (Germany Netherlands) for
- Innovative logistical concepts for regional container distribution



- Support the uptake of electric freight vehicles in eight of Europe's largest cities
- Demonstrating and evaluating innovative urban logistics solutions
- New concepts and business models





- Electric Vehicle Supply Chain Development
- Strategic implementation & infrastructure roll-out plan
- Market drivers and mobility concepts
- E-car sharing pilot project



- Intermodal, smart technologies & Alternative Fuels
- Policy & Behaviour
  - Pilots





#### Aim

- Support the uptake of plug-in vehicles across Europe
- Reduce CO2 & other hazardous emissions in urban environments
- Increasing the number of electric vehicles in fleets

#### Specific objectives

- 1.000 EVs: The main objective is to replace 1.000 traditionally fueled vehicles with electric vehicles (EVs)
- Business cases for fleets: will be identified by whole life cost analysis along with emission data and information on the expected commercial benefit of substituting traditionally fueled vehicles with their electric counterpart.
- **Regional authority support:** a framework to set up incentive programs according to the specific socio-economic conditions of the city, region or country
- **Decision Making Tool :** Make knowledge usable for policymakers and fleet operators through a web based tool and dissemination of results, with 500 users at the end of the project.







# The 4 Boundary Conditions studied



The analysis of the boundary conditions is focused on the **relationships** between the **success of EV** uptake **and** the **boundary conditions** within each country and the selected regions



#### **European perspective**

European EV sales 2015: doubled

New registrations M1 2015-2014





Current status: EV sales 2015 > 0.5 million current stock 1.4 million (passenger cars only)

2015

Source: AVERE

# Success of EV uptake

Absolute number of sales of BEV/FEV and PHEV/E-REV per country



Percentages of sales of BEV/FEV and PHEV/E-REV of the total vehicle sales per country



EV's are all electric powered vehicles with a Plug. This includes BEV (Battery Electric Vehicle), FEV (Full Electric Vehicle), PHEV (Plug-in Hybrid Electric Vehicle), E-REV (Extended Range Electric Vehicle)

### Success of EV uptake

Absolute number of sales of BEV/FEV and PHEV/E-REV per country



### Success of EV uptake

Percentages of sales of BEV/FEV and PHEV/E-REV of the total vehicle sales per country



# Different financial incentives

#### Financial incentives:

### Purchase-related measures such as (national level)

- Purchase Subsidies
- Reduced purchase tax (including VAT / purchase tax etc.)
- Other measures (like reducing the profit tax)

### Taxation for private usage of company car

• 'Benefit in kind' or 'Tax on Private Usage'

# Operational-related measures for owners

• Reduction or exemption from road tax

# Purchase-related subsidies for local level (city / region level)

• Subsidies (-> local air pollution)

- Countries apply different types of financial incentives for the stimulation of EV uptake
- Countries with high taxation, can have a higher impact on costs differences by tax reduction or exception

•

•

- Large differences for the private and company ownership
- Some incentives also applicable for fuel efficient ICE vehicles (low CO2 emissions) → less successful for EV's.



Co-funded by the Intelligent Energy Europe Programme of the European Union.



#### Examples of National <u>Purchase</u> incentives

#### Tax break & Subsidy



#### Examples of National <u>Operational</u> incentives



# >>> Examples of Taxation for private usage of company car

#### (Benefit in kind)

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Purchase costs, Company ownership, C segment

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_2.jpeg)

### TCO, 4 year company ownership, C segment, 24.000 km/a

![](_page_16_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

(2)

Co-funded by the Intelligent Energy Europe Programme of the European Union. I-CVUE»

TCO costs are cumulative cost of 4 year P: Petrol D: Diesel **EV: Electric Vehicle** TCO: Total Cost of Ownership **OTB: One Time Benefits** Energy: petrol/diesel/ electricity costs NIC: National Insurance Contribution (social tax) Maint: Maintenance costs CoM: Cost of Money ToPU: Tax on Personal Usage 17

![](_page_17_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_1.jpeg)

Co-funded by the Intelligent Energy Europe Programme of the European Union. I-CVUE»

#### Purchase costs, Private ownership, C segment

![](_page_18_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### In all countries EV's are more expensive, except for NO

.....

#### Financial TCO, 4 year private ownership, C segment, 12.000 km/a incentives Because EV's have got lower operational costs, the more km's Status: 31-12-2014 55.000 are made, the more financially attractive they become 45.000 35.000 25.000 15.000 5.000 -5.000 EV P Ð EV P EV Ρ Ð EV P EV P Ð Ρ Ð EV Ð Ð

UK

Motor Tax

TCO costs are cumulative cost of 4 year P: Petrol D: Diesel EV: Electric Vehicle TCO: Total Cost of Ownership OTB: One Time Benefits Energy: petrol/diesel/ electricity costs Maint: Maintenance costs CoM: Cost of Money

![](_page_19_Picture_2.jpeg)

Co-funded by the Intelligent Energy Europe Programme of the European Union.

NL

DE

Depreciation (incl OTB)

![](_page_19_Picture_4.jpeg)

Insurance

AT

ES

Maint

Energy

NO

CoM

DRAFT

20

#### TCO, 4 year private ownership, C segment, 24.000 km/a

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

cost of 4 year P: Petrol D: Diesel EV: Electric Vehicle TCO: Total Cost of Ownership OTB: One Time Benefits Energy: petrol/diesel/ electricity costs Maint: Maintenance costs CoM: Cost of Money

TCO costs are cumulative

![](_page_20_Picture_4.jpeg)

Co-funded by the Intelligent Energy Europe Programme of the European Union.

![](_page_20_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### Success of EV uptake – Germany

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Success of EV uptake – Norway

#### Success of EV uptake – The Netherlands

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Chronology in Norway

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

# I-CVUE

Public Charging

Infrastructure

#### **Boundary Conditions**

![](_page_25_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_10.jpeg)

#### >>> Spider web of boundary conditions

#### For business usage

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### >>> Spider web of boundary conditions

#### For private usage

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

# Main conclusions

- More room for incentives for countries with high purchase tax
  The polluter pay principle!
- For substantial impact on EV uptake, financial incentives need to:
  - Minimize the purchase price premium (EV ICE)
  - Create a TCO advantage over ICE (overcome the obstacles and limitations of EV)
- Above threshold uptake-effects of incentives become progressive, below threshold effects are minimal.
- VAT exemption effects on new (private & business) and used EV sales
- Tremendous impact of daily advantages like road/parking priorities and recurring toll-cost
- Crucial: Consistent & long term stable incentive policy, including an well in advance communicated logical incentive dismantling strategy
- Dense network of (public) chargers satisfying the needs of EV drivers and matching the characteristics of their cars: Right locations, available & accessible, affordable prices and right charging speed.

![](_page_28_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### VAT exemption

- Strong influence on sales price difference relative to ICE (high net-price EV's)
- Effect of VAT exemption:
  - New EV's sold to businesses "land" as pre-owned in the private market (VAT is added)
  - Vat exemption direct effects for private market: new and pre-owned
  - Indirect effect new market → Higher residual value → Lower depreciation of new EV's
    → Lower leasing costs → Higher sales new EV's → Positive effect on the private and business market
- Disparities in VAT exemptions between countries create import/export flows of pre-owned vehicles → Export of (earlier subsidised) CO2 & hazardous emissions saving potential!

![](_page_29_Picture_9.jpeg)

### Indication of expacted range of EV's

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

Remarks:

- Theoretical range is based on information provided by OEM's and/or market experts
- Real life range from current available models is based on information from journalists / vehicles tests
- Real life range for future models is based on the average deviation between theoretical range and real life range of current available models (27%)
- Vehicles which are included in this research have (or will have) a list price in a range of € 20.000 and € 40.000.

![](_page_30_Picture_8.jpeg)

Co-funded by the Intelligent Energy Europe Programme of the European Union.

![](_page_30_Picture_10.jpeg)

#### Decision support model

Introduction of the DSM webtool

![](_page_31_Picture_2.jpeg)

# Decision support model

#### Calculation model to identify business cases for EV's

CVUE DSM logir

ation to the ICVUE-DSM webtool is absolutely fit t be given to third party members.

#### Fleet managers Fleet level

- TCO calculation tool including monetary incentives
- Easy to use, fast to access, full of expert knowledge, still flexible to use
- To compare plug-in electric vehicles to conventional reference vehicles

**Policy makers** 

National level (In development) Next steps:

- Possibility to analyze regional conditions for EVs (e.g. free parking etc.)
- Transfer of incentives between regions or countries
- Predictive modelling

Forgot your password

- Consider first & secondary vehicle market
- Adding countries, updating, and continuation to 2020.

Please go to the website and

register at

icvue.eu

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Invitation for cooperation

- Contact us if interested in
  - Further expansion of the study (add your country e.g.)
  - Continuation of study
  - Interested in EV policy (advice)
  - Etc.

![](_page_33_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### Drs. Harm Weken

Managing Director FIER Automotive harmweken@fier.net

![](_page_33_Picture_10.jpeg)

Co-funded by the Intelligent Energy Europe Programme of the European Union. The sole responsibility for the content of this publications lies with the authors. It does not necessarily reflect the opinion of the European Union. Neither the EASME nor the European Commission are responsible for any use that may be made of the information contained therein.